ISSN: 2057-5688

TECHNO-ENGINEERING

ANALYSIS ON DYNAMIC TIME-BASED ENCRYPTION FOR EFFICIENT DATA SHARING IN CLOUD

Mr. Jaibir Singh, Dr. PrasaduPeddi

Research Scholar, SHRI JAGDISHPRASAD JHABARMAL TIBREWALA UNIVERSITY

VIDYANAGARI, JHUNJHUNU, RAJASTHAN.

Assistant Professor, Dept of CSE, SHRI JAGDISHPRASAD JHABARMAL TIBREWALA UNIVERSITY

VIDYANAGARI, JHUNJHUNU, RAJASTHAN

ABSTRACT Presently usage of Cloud computing is increasing, due to internet availability most of Personal Health Record owners (PHR) outsourcing their records to cloud but it is untrusted, so a security mechanism needed in this paper proposing Dynamic Time-based encryption (DTBE), it derived from classic ABE. In past may researchers proposed different access controls for PHR but most of the access control mechanisms introduce burden to the PHR owner while performing dynamic operations insertion, PHR user revocation and when it updates PHR users attribute list. Most of the ABE schemes have several limitations as it cannot efficiently handle adding or revoking users or identity attributes. It needs to keep multiple encrypted copies of the same key that incurs high computational costs. So, there is the need for suitable access control mechanism which should support dynamic policies.

KEYWORDS: ABE, DTBE, PHR, Cloud Computing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cloud Computing has become an integral part of our day to day life. We can see the applications of cloud used everywhere either it could be web applications or mobile applications, IOT Applications or Data-based applications, the cloud has become a common term in the IT Industry. Even a layman is also using the cloud with or without the knowledge of cloud. According to a report presented by Statista portal the number of cloud-based consumers has been increased from 2.4 billion in 2013 to 3.6 billion in the year 2018. The world's total population is 7.6 billion people, and if you see the previous statistics from Statista portal, half of the world's population is directly or indirectly accessing/consuming the Cloud Services. When such a vast number of people use the cloud services by storing and accessing data, you can imagine the kind of problems like Storages, Processing Speed, Security, Privacy, etc.,,. Somehow, Cloud Service providers have tackled the issues mentioned above, but Security remains the most crucial concern which makes the developers or IT professionals think twice before making use of the cloud services and due to the popularity and availability of cloud computing now many organizations outsource their data to the remote server to prevent economic burden and share globally, cloud service providers are currently unreliable because of the many privacy challenges. Cloud as the computing or processing of remote resources or services and these services are IaaS (infrastructure as a service), PaaS (platform as a service), SaaS (software as a service) and so on. Cloud can deploy in four ways, such as private, public, hybrid and community cloud.

Every user connects to the Internet and uses the IT infrastructure to meet their daily needs as the demand for the Internet increases, even the service delivered as software, platform, database, storage services, etc. cloud offers "Pay as you go" to the user, maximum benefits can achieve by using these services at a lower cost.

Security issues in cloud computing

In Addition to Benefits for using cloud computing software and support, there are a few safety problems in computing. They comprise:

Volume XI, Issue II, 2019 JUNE



ISSN: 2057-5688

1. Lock-in: It's The issue of portability and Interoperability. Lock-in difficulty could be to get vendor and data.

Data Lock-in: Information Saved at one cloud website cannot be readily removed, if an individual wants to alter a cloud supplier. It could possibly be attributed to absence of standardized API. This leads to issue of information lock-in. Cloud supplier gives services concerning APIs. API created for a single supplier of cloud might not be helpful for another supplier's cloud. In case a change of supplier is necessary then APIs also must be altered, resulting in partial re-development of this program. This matter is termed as seller lock-in.

2. Service Availability: To get a cloud client, service ought to be accessible at all time. Every time a user asks for a cloud assistance, supplier and consumer needs to register SLA (Service Level Agreement). This defines the stipulations and specifications for cloud hosting support. Additionally, it has percentage of time support is available. A cloud user anticipates a top available service with minimal or no downtime. A cloud supplier and its corresponding provider, is chosen based on service accessibility and company requirements.

3. Bottleneck: Data transport bottleneck and support disruption are a few of the problems caused because of bandwidth restriction.

4. Information privacy: For a Variety of businesses, concerns about safety, privacy, compliance and Control over their information are challenges in moving towards embracing a cloud model.

Security in cloud environment

In cloud computing Paradigm, a cloud hosting supplier creates, deploys and manages the tools, services and application based on the supplier being IaaS, SaaS, or even PaaS. Multi tenancy and virtualization are the crucial characteristics to produce efficient use of the present tools and software. A single host, computing center, information center and functioning system hosts lots of consumers by using virtualization. A high number of customers are becoming served by a cloud supplier at this idea of sharing. Information security, communication, resource management for solitude and virtualization are a few of the security problems arising because of multi-tenancy and virtualization from the cloud atmosphere. Important Kinds of security threats from the context of cloud program are recorded in figure 1.1 and briefly explained below

1. Data User information is processed and stored in a shared environment which is under supplier "s controller. Deficiency of transparency concerning the data storage place in the cloud environment, regulatory dilemma because of cross border storage, etc., makes the necessity of information privacy and security in cloud surroundings much more notable. Thus data security problems including information confidentiality, integrity and accessibility are crucial security problems in computing.

2. Application Safety: Application software working on or being designed for cloud computing systems presents distinct security challenges. Application that's running from the distant should be from real provider and with no malware. Flexibility, openness and public access to cloud infrastructure are risks for program security. Maintaining integrity of software being implemented from remote machines can also be among those concerns.

3. Network Safety: A cloud computing system could be of type private or public, depending on the installation model. Service and software are obtained from remote places in a cloud atmosphere. Constant access to cloud support with no disturbance because of network security issues like refusal of service, and other strikes are significant security challenges.

4. Virtualization Safety: Virtualization technology introduces potential of fresh attacks throughout the hypervisor and other management elements. There are not any reliable ways to evaluate safety of Virtual servers and software. VMs are made and revert as and if needed from the cloud atmosphere. Since VMs can easily be reverted to previous cases, and readily transferred between physical servers, so it isn't simple to accomplish and maintain consistent safety. Therefore, virtualization safety is a concern whilst utilizing the cloud tools.

5. Identity Cloud solutions to get it. Every user uses his individuality for obtaining a cloud service. Unauthorized access to cloud tools and software is a significant issue. Cloud support. Many such malicious entities get the cloud tools leading into un-availability of an agency for valid user. Also, it

Volume XI, Issue II, 2019 JUNE



ISSN: 2057-5688

may occur that This may be in Terms of access to secure place in memory or doing any surgery which Are not kept in Access Control List for a particular source and application. Therefore, Identity Management method for supplying authentication and Authorization is a problem for both supplier in addition to consumer in a cloud computing environment.

Access Control Mechanism

It's step of assessing whether information is in the right hands. The information which are put host by data operator are shared with number of information sharers. Each info sharer has different controls over information. The information can't be supplied to the information sharer with no authentication and control data. Traditional access control steps assume that the proprietor are in precisely the exact same place, therefore data owner has complete control of information. In tech, information owner trusts service supplier for cautious distribution of information. At precisely the exact same way csp does not have knowledge of information which are put. Some outside authentication and authorization measures are required to validate the information sharers. Normally, access control mechanism is accomplished by storing information locally that is preserved by the host. The server will assess user's authentication before permitting user to get the source. Nonetheless, in cloud solutions information are stored in various servers so as to offer high availability and higher performance. The problem arises when in certain areas data could be mishandled. To be able to protect the vital information, encryption of information is highly demanded. A mere encryption is only going to safeguard the information but there's not any provision for information sharing. The secret key needs to be passed to distinct sharers. Even then the secret can't be shared as such, every sharer will have distinct control on information.

The service supplier because of the encrypted temperament can't undermine the information. If any sharer should access the information, with appropriate authentication service supplier will pass the encoded information. To get access to information the information sharer has toget that the decryption key from the proprietor. The amount works is completed within this region and every one of these has suggested different steps in supplying control.

LIMITATIONS

In above all Access control schemes the problems identified as

In every scheme the data owner is depending on key generator (Third party) in order to generate public key and private key.

Sometimes may be third party might be comprised, and then identities will be disclose.

If any dynamic operations done on the file, then the file should be re-encrypting and new keys should be re-issue to the corresponding users.

If any user policies changes or due to dynamic policies the user must be revoked, so in order to revoke the owner must be re-encrypt the file and re-generate the new keys.

Due to the above limitations, a new access control model should be implemented and the new model should effectively handle the dynamic policies and dynamic operations.

Research Gaps

In above all access control schemes the problem identified as

In every scheme the data owner is depending on key generator (Third party) in order to generate public key and private key.

Sometimes may be third party might be comprised, and then identities will be disclosing.

If any dynamic operations done on the file, then the file should be re-encrypting and new keys should be re-issue to the corresponding users.

If any user policies changes or due to dynamic policies the user must be revoked, so in order to revoke the owner must be re-encrypt the file and re-generate the new keys.

Due to the above limitations, a new access control model should be implemented and the new model should effectively handle the dynamic policies and dynamic operations.

RESEARCH MOTIVATION

In conventional cloud version the PHR operator can outsource his personal health record into the cloud but as a result of privacy problems, before outsourcing the private health record has to be encrypted and then it is going to upload into the cloud server. PHR user will get into the private

Volume XI, Issue II, 2019 JUNE



health information (PHI) in the cloud however because of secure data he can not open the information, so then he must find the secrete key from corresponding PHR proprietor, so in order to problems that the secrete keys to PHR users that the PHR proprietor has to maintain online, But it's not feasible to PHR proprietor to remain always on the internet, so the remedy is fundamental authority (CA). Though central authority isn't completely trusted and essential management is the significant challenge in this model.

Data access control is an effective approach to guarantee the PHR confidentiality and PHR privileges from the cloud surroundings, Access control is defined as a policy or process and set of constraints that allows, denies or confine access over cloud so as to access private health information (PHI). A variety of techniques are suggested to safeguard the PHR contents solitude via access management.

From the literature review, it is understood that most of the access control mechanisms introduce burden to the data owner while performing dynamic operations insertion, user revocation and when it updates users attribute list. Most of the ABE schemes have several limitations as it cannot efficiently handle adding or revoking users or identity attributes. It needs to keep multiple encrypted copies of the same key that incurs high computational costs. So there is the need for suitable access control mechanism which should support dynamic policies.

DYNAMIC TIME-BASED ENCRYPTION (DTBE) ALGORITHM

Personal Health Record (PHR) users grouped their responsibility by raise GCA Group creation Algorithm.

 $GF(S) => \{X1, X2....Xn\}$

Additional PHR user decaying support on roles into number of subgroups formulated a SF:

 $SF(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) => \{ X_1/p_1, X_2/p_2, \dots, X_i/p_i \}$

Every subgroup is connected with token produced SA (System Authority).

$$SG_{i,i} \Leftrightarrow TK_{i,i}$$

SA maintains set of strategy and connected it with PHR tokens.

 $SA => \{P1, P2....Pn\}$

Every time PHR owner needs to move file into cloud, it applies the exponentiation with R for file

ISSN: 2057-5688

and group signature and submits its subgroup and level to CSP.

DO -----^{(F, Grsign})^R--^{SG}_i----->CSP

Parallel, PHR owner generates R^{- q} ACP's to SA System Authority.

DO ----> SA

CSP computes encryption then supplies to cloud server along with key information using RSA in a protected way.

Enc_{sk}((File F,Grsign)^R),L_i,SG_i,S_i(sk),S_i^{ei}

The user requests the CSP to access the file with unique R_{id} .

User $\leftarrow \dots R_{id} \longrightarrow CSP$

Cloud service provider decides the access grant as per the PHR user level and the subgroup they belong to as mentioned in the token.

 $CSP \Longrightarrow$ fetch L_i in security token TK. CSP transmits decrypted file to PHR User CSP--- (file, $Grsign)^{R}$ -------> U_i

User request for un-blind value from SA

 $U_i \dots P^{req} \rightarrow SA$

SA sends the un-blind value to User in a secure way.

User applies un-blind value and verify the group signature and finally it retrieves the file.

Ui------((file,Grsign))----->

Phase 1: Dynamic PHR Group creation

The complete information clustered to task of clients they can achieve; Algorithm 5.2 signifies group construction based on the fundamental role of users. Assume representation space described as, Sg= (GCA, A=c, U{d}), where U is general set A is set of qualities, whole set of specific secondary characteristics. Let e definite as component in set S and N be sum of aspects collection.

Algorithm 5.2 GCA

Process $GCA(S_g)$ I/0: users $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n$ Output: subsets of groups X₁, X₂.....X_n Initiate For every Subsets = X_{i} , i = 1...nStart X i=Ø $RB = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ Stop; Calculate subsets X_{i} , i = 1....nStart Prove elements 'role' \approx {R_s} => $\forall R_i \exists R_s, i = 0 \dots n_i$ \forall Component $e_i \approx S$, j=1 to n, d=1 Start: If (R_i) GCAj, $k = R_i$ then Start:



$A_i: = A_i, Be_i$ Else Continue }: Continue }: End:

Originally, every subset {X1, X 2... Xi} negative, users shifted to either untidiness of subsections conferring to their parts which belong part bundle. This returned all users continuously record relocated to some of subgroups. The procedure ought to perform while fresh user appended to database.

Phase 2: Subgroup creation policies

Summary of algorithm 5.3 illustrated below. It gets information as subset X produced in algorithm 5.3 output of subgroups based on various admittance control policies. Concerning every subset, dependent property outlined with policies being in bundle users classified supporting policy.

Algorithm 5.3 Creating policies for subgroups Creation of SF (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n)

I: $X \in SF$, described as $S = (g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_n)$ O: set X_i/Y_i, build on group Initiate $\forall X_i \in X_1, X_2$ X_n , n=0.....n-1; Start: ∀SF_i∃PB; Start:

Choose division $GCA_i := \{SF_{1...n} || X (GCA_{i....n})\}$ Stop all starts:

Phase 3: Group Token creation:

The sign made for a respective policy controlled SA and est linked with policy records. The token contains of token ID, algorithm ID, subgroup file ID list, hash integrity digital signature DS.

$\begin{array}{c c} S_g & \{funid_1, \dots, f \\ SI & D & unid_i \} \\ D & & \end{array}$	TE xp	HM AC	D S	
---	----------	----------	--------	--

Tokens stored in a cuckoo hash table are assigned to respective subgroups to produce a universal symbol for all members of the subset. If there remain unusual users in the database, algorithm 2 and algorithm 3 can use. The removal of consumers based on identification of subclass to which fit following and unloading the data from database.

Phase 4: Group User adding & Removing

The client adding performed via data arrangement retrieved cuckoo filter. The Algorithm 5.4 Fan et al., (2014) demonstrate how innovative client SF_1 added into subdivision centered on policies.

Alg: 5.4 Appending new user

Operation Append (U)

JUNE

```
GL = Group List (u_1, \dots, u_n \in U);
    GL = MD5 Hash \forall U;
    GL_2 = GL_1 (MD5 Hash(GC));
    if( position[GL<sub>1</sub>] Position [GL<sub>2</sub>]) \Rightarrow
    (position [GL_1] position [GL_2]) = {MD5 Hash};
    Return 1;
    GL_1 = randomly pick u_1, u_2 \dots u_n;
L_i = 0..., N < GL_2; N++) execute randomly
    If (position [GL]) == 0
    Position [GL] == \{GC\};
    Return completed;
    Return stop.
    Algorithm 5.5 User removing from group
    Start Process:
    Process Remove (PR) (User \in GL)
    PR = remove (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \forall GL);
    GL_1 = MD5 Hash (GL);
    GL_2 = GL_1 \in MD5 Hash (PR);
    If (position [u_1] \in GL_1, position [u_1] \in GL_2) = { PR }
    =>
    Delete u_1 \in GL_1
    End process;
    Algorithm 5.6 User search
    Process start:
    Process Search (PS) (u \in GL)
    PS = identify User (u_1, u_2..., u_n);
    GL_1 = Do Hash ( u \in GL_1);
    if (PS
                                  (position [u_1 \in GL]
                                  position [u_2 \in GL])
```

=>

Search process end:

Phase 5: Outsourcing encrypted Data:

The owner asks the system administrator to concern keys and to produce RSA encryption on SK. The owner of data encodes the file (F, SK) of session key changed so that (Si) ei. All these coded sets Enc_{sk} (File, Group_iSign), Enc_{sk} (File, U \in GL}, All information. Grobauer et al., (2011)

Phase 6: Cipher text Update by owner

The revoked attributes, associated with the cipher text's are needed to be altered to their recent version so as to guarantee that the newly added user should adequate characteristic to decrypt the former information that published before it added to the system. To enhance the overall system, performance cloud server executes calculation for cipher text update rather than carrying out at owner surface. Advanced proxy re-encryption technique used for the cipher-text modernize, in such a case the cloud seems not require to decrypt cipher-text earlier perform update. The cloud server executes the EncryptUpdate() algorithm to alter the cipher text related canceled attribute X-i. It uses inputs cipher text's connected with revoked attribute Xi

ISSN: 2057-5688



and renew key UXi. It updates the cipher text that is related to revoked attribute Xia.

 $\begin{array}{l} CT^1=&(C_i=c_i^{-1}; \in [I,1] \\ \text{If } p(i)=x^1_{\text{attributeid}} \text{: } C_i=&(D_i)^{UK}_{\text{Xattrid}} \\ D \; i \; (\; Di)^{UK}_{\text{attribid}} \end{array}$

Phase 7: Re-encrypt to impose policies

The data items must be re-encrypted using a new symmetric key SK, in the case of user additions/revocations or access policy changes. This privacy preserving method requires the owner to produce a fresh blind value R such that (file)^{new R} to be generated and transmitted to the cloud. The encryption will be executed again with fresh symmetric key SK by the cloud server, to ensure the access control method is fine-grained.

Backward secrecy is imposed in re-encryption, in case a superset of old group users. Forward secrecy is imposed in re-encryption, in case a subset of old set of users.

Performance analysis

The result in Table 5.2 shows that the encryption, decryption for various files sizes of HCP-ABE and CP-ABE scheme. Similarly, the operations for User Insertion and User Revocation with respect to different users for both the schemes are shown in Table 5.2

Table	6.2	Average	computation	time	for	various
phases	in F	ICP-ABE	scheme with	CP-A	BE.	

Operation Time	File	HCP-	CP-
(Sec)	Size	ABE	ABE
	(KB)		
File upload	10	0.036	0.0416
Total time			
(Encryption +	50	0.041	0.0463
Data	100	0.057	0.058
Transmission)	100		0.000
	150	0.062	0.073
File Download	10	0.044	0.045
Total time	50	0.066	0.067
Data	100	0.077	0.070
Transmission)	100	0.077	0.079
11 u	150	0.081	0.084
User Insertion	10	2.0	0.05
(In terms of			
Number of	20	2.6	0.07
Users)	50	4.3	0.11
	100	6.2	0.12
User Revocation	10	2.254	0.16

ISSN: 2057-5688

20	2.35	0.23
50	2.47	0.55
100	2.66	0.71
	0.0045	0.0045
	20 50 100	20 2.35 50 2.47 100 2.66 0.0045



Fig: 6.3 Average computation time comparison for file upload operation

The Figure 6.3 shows the comparison of file upload for the HCP-ABE and CP-ABE scheme. The encryption period of both the schemes varies respect to different file size.

Volume XI, Issue II, 2019

JUNE





Fig: 6.4 Average computation time comparison for file download operation

The Figure 6.4 indications evaluation of file downloads operation for the HCP-ABE and CP-ABE. The decryption time also differs through veneration to the various file sizes for both the approach and time spent also nearly equal to both the schemes.



Fig: 6.5 Average computation time comparison for User Insertion

User Revocation

ISSN: 2057-5688

CP-ABE

Fig: 6.6 Average computation time comparison for User Revocation

50

100

20

Number of users

The Figure 6.5 and Figure 6.6 show the comparison of user insertion and revocation for HCP-ABE, CP-ABE scheme. The computation time varies with respect to a number of users are inserted or removed from its database. Hence, the HCP-ABE scheme applies the clustering approach and uses the cuckoo filter techniques, the time it takes to perform user insertion or user revocation is very less compare to HCP-ABE. However, both the scheme takes the similar key management time, which is nearly 0.0045 seconds. Similar way the clustering process in CB-HPAC scheme takes approximately few milliseconds which is negligible.

CONCLUSION

12

10

8

Ses 6

Time in

0

10

In this paper, addressed the problem of dynamic policies and operations on Mobile cloud, generally in Mobile cloud storage in order to control outscored data, DW enforces access control mechanism by using this he can grant the privileges to set of desired users or revoke the privileges of particular users so to achieve this many access control mechanisms are implemented, like Identity based encryption (IBE), ABE and CP-ABE etc. However, all these existing access control mechanisms are static means if once policy is defined on encrypted text if any user policies are changes why because users are dynamic, not static once polices updated privileges of user also get update or if any user removed or owner want to want to take back the privileges which are assigned previously for this these circumstances existing access control mechanisms not suitable for MCC. Sometimes once inserting data toward cloud, owner could want to update data or remove data so



policies should be updated, so the objective of this work is if any user policies are changes or if any dynamic operations are done on a cloud server without changing any user policies over encrypted data the cloud data should be updated. To achieve this new access control mechanism should require, in this work proposing new access control scheme called Homomorphic cipher text policy Attributebased encryption (HCP-ABE) which is designed based on Cipher text policy Attribute-based encryption (CP-ABE).

REFERENCES

- 1. Jingquan Li, 2013, "Electronic Personal Health Records and the Question of Privacy", ISSN: 0018-9162, Volume: PP, Issue: 99, PP: 1-1.
- 2. K Liang & Willy 2015. "Searchable Attribute-Based Mechanism With Efficient Data Sharing for Secure Cloud Storage", ISSN: 1556-6013, Volume: 10, Issue: 9, PP: 1981-1992.
- Lan et al. 2016, "A Secure Role-Based Cloud Storage System For Encrypted Patient-Centric Health Records", ISSN: 1460-2067, Volume: 59, Issue: 11, PP: 1593-1611.
- 4. R. Manoj; et.al, 2017, "Hybrid Secure and Scalable Electronic Health Record Sharing in Hybrid Cloud", PP: 185-190.
- Shu-Di Bao; et.al, 2017, "A Method of Signal Scrambling to Secure Data Storage for Healthcare Applications", ISSN: 2168-2194, Volume: 21, Issue: 6, PP: 1487-1494.
- Sathishkumar et al. 2016, "An Efficient Key Management Infrastructure for Personal Health Records in cloud", PP: 1651-1657.
- Xin Yao et al. 2018, "Privacy-Preserving Search Over Encrypted Personal Health Record In Multi-Source Cloud", ISSN: 2169-3536, PP: 3809-3823
- 8. Prasadu Peddi (2018), "A Study For Big Data Using Disseminated Fuzzy Decision

Volume XI, Issue II, 2019 JUNE

E http://ijte.uk/

ISSN: 2057-5688

Trees", *ISSN: 2366- 1313, Vol 3, issue 2, pp:46-57.*

- 9. Yang et al. 2017, "Lightweight Sharable and Traceable Secure Mobile Health System", ISSN: 1545-5971, Volume: PP, Issue: 99, PP: 1-1.
- 10. PrasaduPeddi, 2018, Data sharing Privacy in Mobile cloud using AES, ISSN 2319-1953, volume 7, issue 4.

102